Approved For Release 2006/10/18: CIA-RDP59-00882R000200120119-2

Thirt by The Pile- Whin Phins MEMORANDUM FOR: Assistant Deputy Deputy (Support) SUBJECT: Administrative Planning Policy 25X1 1. At a meeting on 1 June 1956 to consider measures for expediting the publication of proposed Regulations requested that a memorandum be prepared setting forth basic points or which policy guidance is desired as prerequisite for the development of acceptable text for such regulations. This is the requested memorandum. 2. There are four major areas in which guidance is requested. These are: 25X1 (1) What is the fundamental purpose of an Administrative Plan? 25X1 explicitly provides that Administrative Flans will develop "policies and procedures which: 25X1 will insure optimum standards of sound administration compatible with the operational circumstances of each specific project." The draft version of _____ prepared by PAPS and circulated for noncurrence by RCS in December 1986, retains this language, which, in the view of PAPS, is essential to reflect the fundamental objective of the administrative planning process. Inherent in this concept is the view that Administrative Plans should treat not only of the relationships between CIA and project instrumentalities, but should also serve to define the respective responsibilities and authorities of interested Agency elements, where these cannot readily be determined by reference to existing regulations. On the other hand, prepared by the Office of the 25X1 the revised version of SEA/DES in May 1956, is based on the premise that the quoted la:guage is so vague as to be misleading and productive of unnecessary controversy. Moreover, the revised draft is based on the theory > that am Administrative Plan should be much more limited in scope than has been the practice in the past. (11) Who shall determine whether or not an Administrative Plan is needed for a particular project? Should this responsi-25X1 bility be vested solely in the DO/P, or his designee, as provided in the present or is some participation by the DD/S necessary to enable the ND/S properly to discharge his responsibilities to the DCT? Alternatives to pre:ent procedure would seem to be (1) assignent of sole responsibility for this determination to the BD/S; (2) a joint determination by the DD/P and the DD/E; or (3) a requirement that the determination 25X1 made by DD/P have, in each instance, concurrence by DD/E. In this matter, of course, any change from present procedure implies that the BB/S, or his designee, shall be afforded an opportunity to review all clandestine activities, including some which we alice Sign

Approved For Release 2006/10/18: CIA-RDP59-00882R000200120119-2

understand are not now submitted to him for review.

(iii) The recently adopted concept that administrative officers of line divisions shall participate more fully in Administrative 25X1 Plan development needs further clarification. As matters now stand this policy could be construed to require area divisions to propare a draft Administrative Plan is every case, or, in the alternative, could permit them to request the preparation of such a text by the Project Administrative Planning Staff. Furthermore, the extent of ecordination now to be required, both within a Divisten, and emong other interested Agency elements, is unclear. Are Administrative Flams to be coordinated in the same manner as heretofore, or, if not, in what particulars are precent coordingtion procedures to be altered? Legtly, the function and authorities of the Project Administrative Planning Staff in this new concept nood caroful definition. 25X1 (iv) Proposed would govern small subsidy projects -- except when this would be impracticable, in which case an Administrative Plan would be prepared. This regulation also 25X1 provides standardized administrative controls suitable for incorporation by reference in Administrative Plans for proprietary and large subsidy projects. FAPE believes both these conceptsbut perticularly the latter -- to be undemirable. Hence, it is necessary to inquire whether it is desirable to provide by regulation for various Administrative Plan features which occur at

but particularly the latter—to be undemirable. Honce, it is necessary to inquire whether it is desirable to provide by requiration for various Administrative Plan features which occur at more or less frequent intervals. If so, should such a regulation establish standardized procedures for all preprietary and subsidy projects, from which relief can be secured via the administrative planning process? Or, on the other hand, should such a regulation by its terms be limited to projects, such as smaller subsidies, which can be demonstrated to require substantially similar administrative procedures in a majority of cases?